

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Cawley
<b>Given Name</b>	Lee
<b>Person ID</b>	1285741
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Cawley
<b>Given Name</b>	Lee
<b>Person ID</b>	1285741
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	Cawley
<b>Given Name</b>	Lee
<b>Person ID</b>	1285741
<b>Title</b>	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Leave the green belt alone and demolish Radcliffe town centre instead. It's an absolute dump of a place that no one visits with more closed businesses than there are viable ones. Plenty room there for extra housing. I'm not sure who the council thinks would use the proposed "hub"for Radcliffe.</p> <p>There problem solved - how easy was that? And I didn't need to be ejected or be overpaid thousands in taxpayers money to solve the problem. You can have this one for free. You are welcome!</p>

<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Leave the green belt alone and demolish Radcliffe town centre instead. It's an absolute dump of a place that no one visits with more closed businesses than there are viable ones. Plenty room there for extra housing. I'm not sure who the council thinks would use the proposed 'hub' for Radcliffe.</p> <p>There problem solved - how easy was that? And I didn't need to be ejected or be overpaid thousands in taxpayers money to solve the problem. You can have this one for free. You are welcome!</p>
--	--